P.R. No. 4035/16
Presented by Shri Mahendra Sahay
dated 22/12/16

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION No. 4169 **/2006**

PETITIONER : Khonte Tigga S/o. Late Latta Tigga, Aged about 51 years, working as Head Master, Primary School- Patharai, Block- Batauli, District- Surguja (Chhattisgarh), R/o. Village Basin, Post- Sedam, Block- Batauli, District- Surguja (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1- State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2- District Education Officer, Ambikapur, District- Surguja (C.G.).

3- District Collector, Ambikapur, District- Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION / ORDER OF LIKE NATURE ETC.

30

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4169/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11-8-2006 Mr. Mahendra Dubey, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 7-7-2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Primary School, Pathrai to District Education Officer, Ramanujganj. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner as well as his wife are posted at the same place and due to the impugned transfer order, they are being disturbed. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances, annexing a copy of the instant writ petition and its annexures within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the same within a period of one month from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, on its own merits, in accordance with law. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3235/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Soma

P.T.O.

18/8/06